

## REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 3, accordingly Claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled. Claim 4 has been amended to change the dependency from "3" to "1". Claim 5 has been amended to correct a spelling error. Claim 17 has been amended to change the dependency from "16" to "12". The term "preferably" has been removed from Claims 6, 7, 21 and 22. The term "about" has been removed from Claims 17-22. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner considers that a lack of unity of invention exists for a) agrochemical ingredients and b) cyclic amine or cyclic amide adjuvants. Applicants elect, as the single species for a) and b), a) paraquat and b) N-(aminopropyl) morpholine. Accordingly, claims 1 and 4 - 22 read on the elected species.

Applicants aver that they have made a complete response to the lack of unity requirement of the Examiner and that the instant application and claims are now in better condition for examination on their merits and for allowance.

As this response is submitted within one month from the mailing date of the Office Action, no additional fees are believed necessary.

However, in the event the undersigned is mistaken in his calculations, an appropriate extension of time to respond is respectfully requested, and the Commissioner is authorised to debit the appropriate fee for that extension, or any other fee, from the deposit account of the undersigned, no 50-1676 in the name of Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.  
Patent and Trademark Dept.  
410 Swing Road  
Greensboro, NC 27409  
(336) 632-7586

/Thomas Hamilton/  
Thomas Hamilton  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 40,464

Date: May 12, 2010